App F IX A

Previous References

1946/47 ml)

File No 24-46

Serial Nos 1 – 2

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Two

August

Part B for

SUBJECT

Petition of C/ house No 221 and 2 Others
Mysore protesting against the
acquisition of their property
for the alleged improvement
and slum clearance in ch R

1 2300 & 9.8.47

1

C/ house No 221 and Others

Repels the

K-2

3839/C

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 194 | [App. F III-2

Serial No

petition dated — from Ghouseba and others. Chamundeswari Road, Ghousemohalla Mysore. protesting against the acquisition of their property for the alleged improvement and slum clearance in the Chamundeswari Road.

1) Submitted with a memo calling for a report

2) For orders if a report may be called for in the matter

Reminder sent 11/1/1945

3) Yes DOM 12/1/45

4) Sd H 13/1/1945

5) Submitted with a draft H 15/1

6) Issued 17/1/1945

To Chairman, City Improvement Trust Bd, Mysore NL 5594. dated 21st Dec 1945

Forwards for report petition presented by Mrs Ghouseb & others protesting against the acquisition of their property.

TYPING SECTION 12 DEC 1945 CODE & CYPH SECTT

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No of 194

General and Ter Secretariat

Serial No.	

G R S III—31

3839 / 4641 / L

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Petition addressed to the Minister for Education & p by one Ghousia and others praying to notice that their property was acquired by the Trust Board, Mysore for the alleged improvement and their clearance and that the same was regarded by influential gentlemen, etc.

1) Minister Minute

Revenue Secy noted T C M R 31-1-46

2) Letter with a memo seeking the Chairman C & T Board, Mysore, for expediting the report called for

Ltr 16/1

3) Issue L 16.1.46

L 1939 18-1-46

WD 0873—G1 P 2 000—30-11-45

Secy

From the Chairman, C.I.T. Board, Mysore

A. 91-43 dated 6-3-46

Furnishes a report on the protest-application of Mrs Ghousia and other owners of D. No. 873 in Narayana Sastri Road in Block No 69, Krishnarajendra Mohalla, Mysore

Ref: In the above protest application received from Mrs Ghousia and others, addressed to the Minister for Education and Public Health, the following points are mentioned:-

1) that their property was acquired in the name of Slum clearance, on the distinct understanding that the new sites would be granted to the applicants if they gave a undertaking to put up a building according to the plan approved by the Municipality and that they agreed to do so, orally and in writing

2) that the site in question was granted

by the Chairman, Trust Board, to one

Mr Talachar, Operator, Chamundeswari Talkies, Mysore, on recommendation of influential persons at an upset price of Rs 2-0-0 per Sq. yard, though the present market value of the site is Rs 10-0-0 per Sq. yard

3) That the action of the Chairman, C S T Board has been such as to take away a property of the poor applicants and hand it over to an influential gentleman

11) The Chairman's report dated 6-3-46 is perused

12) The Chairman has stated, among others, that no promise to grant the same site to the applicants was ever held out and that the petitioner was a long owner by profession, and that the question of granting them the same site could not be considered, on account of the nuisance caused by their profession

6317 / 3837

13) It is also stated that a small site was granted to the Talaimannar Operator at an upset price of Rs 2- per sq. yard being the highest Schedule rate, that there was no influence of any kind moved in the disposal of the site and that the allegation is baseless and mischievous

14) Refer paras 16-4 supra

15) what was the amount spent for the acquisition of this property & what is the amount realized by the sale of the lots?

16) what are the powers of the chairman in regard to the disposal of lots & whether he has the power of the Trust Board vested authority him to dispose of the lots for an upset price? why were not these lots sold in public auction?

17) was it not possible to offer a site to the applicant subject to the building being completed on certain conditions? why was it not done? pl enquire from 21/Mar

18) Send with a draft letter for appl Revenue 29/8/46

19) Issue draft 25/3/46 L.

22/3

TYPING SECTION
26 MAR 1946
GEN & REV SECTT

6317 / 7853

To The chairman C.I.T. Board, Tryvan

L 10056 d 30-3-46

Calls for further information

Petition from Thrombi and others desiring to note & test the inequity in the Conduct of the Chairman, C.I.T Board, Tryvan in accepting the applicants' house and regarding it as an influential person etc.

Ministers Time

20) A Stay order may be given and a report called for with connected records

Send T.C Dvr
3-5-46

five days.

21) Send with a short letter accordingly.

22) Issue at once

To the chairman C.I.T Board, Tryvan

L 12363 d 9-5-46

Issues a stay order & calls for report

23) Another do reminder is sent up

24 Issue at once

L 13068
23-5-46

Reminder

1

MAY 1946
TYPING SECTION
29 MAY 1946

8871 — 7853
L

From the Chairman, T & T Board, Mysore
A 71 dated 4-6-46

Furnishes further information called for

25) The history of the case has been explained at paras 10 and 14 of the Notes Supra.

26) The Chairman has forwarded his office file re. the acquisition of the property of Saba Saba, the applicant, and not the papers relating to the grant of this land to one Mr Talachar, Operator, Chamundeswari Talkies.

27) It is reported that a sum of Rs 14,192-8-0 was spent for the acquisition of these properties, and that a sum of Rs 13,648-1-9 was realised by sale of sites, (including the value of structures and other materials worth Rs 11,642)

28) The question re the powers of the Chairman to dispose of the lands at an upset price is under examination separately

8871/9853

2) From the information furnished at para 27 supra, it is clear that the transaction has resulted in loss to the Trust Board

3) Refer. para 20 supra

31) The Chairman has not reported whether the directors / matters with Rs. 11642/- were actually utilized for / in what manner / whether the same were taken to account.

32) When a sum of Rs 14,19,987/- was spent out of the Trust Board funds for clearing the property, it was up to the Chairman, to have seen that the site free was disposed of for an upset price for Rs 2006/1/9 - in all but should have realized as much money as possible for the Trust Board. This aspect of the matter does not seem to have been seriously considered by the Chairman, with the result that the financial interests of the Trust Board have suffered. This is an important road and it is stated that if the site had been sold, they would have fetched

protest against the acquisition of property in Bermudez Waterworks at the rate of Rs 10/- per gunta.

Although the Trust Board approved the layout of the area, yet it does not seem to have authorized the Chairman to dispose of the sites for an inspection

33) This is a case in which a stay order has been issued and so the order of the Minister for Education in para 20 supra

11.2.05 17/6 — The site may perhaps be inspected & decision arrived at. Thereafter

Receipt from 15 Dept

F ... Education & P E
Date 19 6 46
No 2299

Minister for Ed^n & P H) 34) Noted 19/6

35) I shall inspect the place during the celebrations on Thursday & Chairman may be asked arrange an inspection. Noted 4/7/46

Noted 6/7

36) Draft letter is submitted for approval

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION & P H
Date
No

TYPING SECTION
9 JULY 1946
GEN & REV SECTT

1) Appointment of Dr Lewis Hyde for the minister's approval

P A to the Minister for Education & P H

Re ch no. C. I. T Bdy Nagpur
L 515 dated 9 7 46
Intimating to make arrange
ments for the inspection of the
spot by the Minister Edn & P. H.

Stay order may be vacated

24/7/46



24/7/

Draft letter

24/7/46

A draft letter is sent up
for approval.

24/7/46

27/7

Send with draft.
endorsement
to the parties.

31/7

Rent House Bd dated 4/8/46
Lr 30 dated 9/8/46
Rejects the petition.

19/8/46

MK 24-46-1

28/11/45

Rev Secy

OFFICE OF THE ... FOR EDUCATION ... Date 28.11.45 No 634

GOVT. SECRETARIAT DEC 1945 3839 L S G BRANCH



To

Dr T C M Royan, Esq , M D ,
Minister for Public Health,
Education & Local Self-Government,
Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE

APPLICANTS --1)Ghousebi, aged about 40 years,
2)Sabu Sab, aged about 35 years,
3)Mohammed Gyb Sab, aged about 27 years
4)Sabjan, aged about 23 years, and
5)Amir, aged about 19 years

Sons of the late Mohammed Hussain alias Hassan Sab, Muslims by caste, residing at Chamundeswari Road, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore City, care of Mr A Venkateshiah, B A , LL B , Advocate, Mysore

Vs

RESPONDENT -- Tatachar, Esq , Operator, Chamundeswari Talkies, Mysore City

SUBJECT -- Acquisition of the property of the applicants for alleged improvement and slum clearance and the re-grant of the same site

---

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOUR,

1 The applicants are the children of the late Mohammed Hussain alias Hassan Sab

2 House property and ground attached to the house bearing Municipal Door No 873 in Block No 69-B, Chamundeswari Road, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore City belonged to the applicants as co-owners after the death of their parents The applicants were in possession of the said property

3 The Mysore City Improvement Trust Board on grounds of alleged slum clearance issued a notice for acquisition of the property When the applicants approached the Chairman, the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board, they were told that the house that they

were living in, had to be demolished and that the, sit would be granted to those that promised to complete the building thereon, on the site to be formed, according to approved plans In spite of the applicants mentioning that they were all co-owners and as such interested in the said property the proceedings seem to have been proceeded with only in the name of Sabu Sab, the second applicant At all times when the - Chairman, the City Improvement Trust Board called upon the Chairman, the City Improvement Trust Board called upon the applicants to appear before him, it is the third applicant that appeared before him, and has submitted a number of applications and statements

4 The Chairman, the Mysore City Improvement - Trust Board was pleased to tell the applicants that in case they consented to the acquisition, the house would be demolished, the site re-formed and granted back to the applicants if they gave a mutchalika that they would build according to draft plans The applicants have consented to do so Such consent has been expressed by them orally and in writing

5 The Chairman, the Mysore City Improvement - Trust Board thus was enabled by consent of the applicants to proceed with the acquisition The acquisition proceedings were completed The house of the applicants was demolished and when it came to a regrant of the site as formed newly, the Chairman, the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board who has been up till now promising the applicants that they would be given the site for being built upon, according to approved plans, appears to have granted the site to the respondent, Mr Tatachar, who happens to be an - operator at the Chamundeswari Talkies, Mysore City It may not be out of place for the applicants to mention that the Talkies do belong and is being run by really

really influential gentlemen in Mysore City, viz , Thimmia Char and Yalakappa Char and Sons, Palace Contractors

6 The applicants are poor and loyal subjects residing in Mysore City having no other property apart from the house that they owned They did not object to the compensation or the award that the Chairman, the City Improvement Trust Board wanted to pass, as they fully believed that their site as newly formed would be granted to them It was only a few days ago when the said Tatachar started Puja on the site telling that the site had been granted to him by the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board that the applicants came to know of the manoeuvre - permit us to use the said word - of the Chairman, the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board Thereafter the applicants were shocked at the way the proceedings had gone on and they went and made enquiries at the Office of the Chairman, the Mysore City Improvement Trust - Board They were then told that all the statements and applications made by the third applicant had not been taker into the file at all and that the site on which the house of the poor applicants stood had been granted to the respondent, Mr Tatachar on recommendations of people who are influential and as such influence could not be brought to bear by the applicants on the Chairman, the City Improvement Trust Board

7 The action of the Chairman, the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board has been such as to take away a property of your poor applicants and hand it over to an influential gentleman to put up shops and houses and the grant has been, it appears at an upset price of Rs 2-0-0 per square yard The applicants beg to submit that at the present market rate every square yard of land there is worth not less than Rs 10-0-0

8 The City Improvement Trust, the applicants beg to submit, has not been constituted to deprive poor people of their house and allow rich people to build thereon and that getting the land at concessional rates The action of the Chairman, of the City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore has been a heart-burning one, so far as the applicants beg to submit, are concerned They have no house to live in and they cannot put up a building with the small amount that the Chairman may have awarded

9 But for the promises that were being made up till now by the Chairman, the City Improvement Trust Board that the site would be granted back to the applicants, the applicants would not have kept quiet all these days They have actually been kept in the dark up till now and thus rendered homeless

10 This action on the part of the Chairman, the City Improvement Trust Board may the Trust Board itself is one that the Minister has got to look into and rectify matters

11 Your Honour, the applicants pray that immediate interference in the matter be kindly ordered, the papers called up, the respondent directed not to proceed with the work of the building which he has not up till now done and remedy the sufferings of your poor applicants and not render them homeless

A Venkateshaiah
Advocate
Petrs

Memo no dated

Forwarded with compliments to the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore for report B-o

For Revenue Secy



IN THE COURT OF THE

CASE No OF 194 —194

PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT

VS

I the above-named do, by these presents retain Mr to appear for and conduct the above case on behalf to Judgment

2 further empower him to do all the necessary acts in the above case and to execute any decree, or order that may be passed in behalf and to receive, from the court all documents, refunds and all sums of money that may be entitled to get and grant receipt therefor

3 also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said or any other on his behalf in so doing

Left Thumb Mark

Accepted Signed before me and personally known to me

for

Dated 194 *Dated* 194

Encl. 1 petn recd from Govt

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD MYSORE,

No L 5594 Date 21-12-45

Yee Chairman,
City Improvement Trust Board,
Mysore
~~Bangalore~~

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith in original (to be returned with your reply) ~~for favour of your report~~ a petition presented by Mr. Ghorsebs and others protesting against the acquisition of their property for alleged improvement and slum clearance and the re-grant of the same site, in pursuance of the request to forward an early report in the matter. Please etc

for Yee Secretary to Govt

1

No A/ord /C 71/43-44/Encl 1 petn recd from Govt

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD, MYSORE.

Dated 5th March 1946

6317

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,
City Improvement Trust Board
Mysore

To

J B Gallaradhya, Esq , M A ,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

SUBJECT Protest Application of Mrs Grouse Bi and other owners of D No 873 in Narayanasastry Road in Block No 69/B, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore

---

With reference to your letter No L 5594 dated 21st December 1945 forwarding the above petition and calling for report thereon, I have the honour to state as follows

1 The property in question viz , Door No 873 in Narayanasastry Road belonged to one Babu Sab and others. This, along with 19 other houses which were old and dilapidated was acquired for slum clearance as per Government Order No L 10715/M1 23-43-55 dated 19th April 1944 at a cost of Rs 15,000/- The residents of the locality are frequently complaining of the insanitary condition in which the locality was and the area also required proper layout and slum clearance

2 After the acquisition was complete, the area was laid out into six sites and six bits, and the bits so formed were granted to adjacent house owners, and of the six sites formed 2 were granted to displaced persons at a concession rate of 0-8-0 per sq, d , 2 sites were granted to "Brahmana Dharma Sahaya Sabha and one site to Mrs D Sumitra in addition to a small site measuring 53 x 21' to Dr Sumitra himself as a compound to his house and last one small site to one Mr Tatachar, who owns no house at Mysore These sites were sold at Rs 0/- per sq d , at the highest schedule rate

3 Re the points referred to in Paras 3 & 4 of the petition under advertance alleging that a promise was made to grant the same site, it is to be stated that no such promise or stipulation was made to the petitioner In this connection, it is submitted that the petitioner was a tonga-owner by profession and the locality had become very insanitary and unhealth giving room to frequent complaints from neighbours re the nuisance caused by his profession although this was on a very important road As such the

the question of granting a site to the petitioner in the same place could not be considered as it would give room to similar complaints and also because the site is in the main road surrounded by decent buildings

4 In the lay-out newly formed, all the 12 dis-housed persons could not be re-housed as it would only reconvert the locality again into a slum area for the simple reason that the dismantled houses were small dingy houses With regard to the grant of a site to Mr Tatachar, there was no influence of any kind and the grant has been made as in the case of other houseless people The allegation is baseless and mischievous It is learnt that long after his house was acquired the petitioner, was set up by a former neighbour who expects to be granted some more land

5 In the circumstances explained above, the petitioner does not deserve any consideration If the petitioner is in need of a site, one will be given in a locality suited to maintaining tongas and ponies by professionals

6 The petition received with your letter under advertance is returned herewith as desired

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R.Y Rajan

Chairman 9c

HRS

L 10086 & 30 3.46

To

The Chairman C & T Board,

Mysore

Protest application of Mrs Ghousia Begum and other owners of D No 873 in Narayana Shetty Road Block No 69/B. Lakshmanaraja Mohalla, Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No A 71-43-44 dated 6-3-46, on the above subject, I am directed to request you to furnish information on the following points -

What was the amount spent for the acquisition of these properties and what is the amount realised by the sale of the Sites ?

What are the powers of the Chairman in regard to the disposal of Sites for upset price and was there a resolution of the Trust Board passed authorising him to dispose of the said Sites for an upset price? Why were not these Sites sold in public auction?

Was it not possible to offer the Sites to the

applicants, subject to the buildings being constructed on certain conditions? Why was it not done?

An early reply is requested

Yours faithfully

25/3

for Revenue Officer

A stay order maybe ~~called~~ given & report called for with connected papers. HA





BEFORE THE MINISTER for Public Health, Education and Self-Government, Government of Mysore, BANGALORE

APPLICANTS --1) Ghousebi, aged about 40 years,
2) Sabu Sab, aged about 35 years,
3) Mohammed Gyb Sab, aged about 27 years,
4) Sabjan, aged about 23 years, and
5) Amir, aged about 19 years

(Sons of the late Mohammed Hussain alias hassan Sab, Muslims by caste, residing at Chamundeswari Road, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore City, care of Mr A Venkateshiah, B A , LL B , Advocate, Mysore)

Vs

RESPONDENT --Tatachar, Esq , Operator, Chamundeswari Talkies, Mysore City

SUBJECT --Acquisition of the property of the applicants for alleged improvement and slum clearance and the re-grant of the same site

---

The applicants submitted an application to the Minister setting forth the inequity in the conduct of the Chairman of the City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore in that the applicants' house in Chamundeswari Road, Mysore City was acquired on the ground of slum clearance with a promise that such portion of the site as would remain would be re-granted to them for putting up a house according to approved plans has now been - granted to the respondent apparently on recommendations If the Government were pleased to send for and look into the plan submitted by the respondent for which a license has been issued it becomes clear that instead of clearing slums a creation of a further slum area is tolerated, in the place of one house which has been acquired license has been issued for the construction of four shops and two houses The applicants learn that their application is pending receipt of reports

from the Office of the Chairman, the City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore City In the meantime the respondent has been apparently asked to hurry building operations and he has been doing so at great cost in great hurry working by shifts

2 This move is apparently to invoke the sympathy of the Government at some stage that the respondent has spent a large amount of money At present not much money has been spent

3 Pending enquiry by the Government and the granting of relief to the applicants for the reasons set forth in detail in their application, the applicants submit that it is absolutely necessary in the interests of justice and equity to issue a stay order directing the Chairman, City Improvement Trust to have the building operations started by the respondent stayed Urgent orders might kindly be passed on the petition This reminder is sent as an application by the applicants in person to the Minister presented on the 25th April 1946 has not yet fetched a stay order

Advocate/Vakil
Advocate
Petitioners
25 46.

Applicants

Immediate

To The Chairman C.I.T Board

L 12363 WE 89 & 46 Typed

Subj Protest application of Mrs Ghouse Bi and other owners of Door No 73 in Narayana Sastri Road, in Block No 69/15, Krishnarajpuram Hobli Typed

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter no L 10056, dated 30-3-46, calling for certain information on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of another petition received ~~and~~ from Mrs Ghousi and others, and to state that further acquisition proceedings in question may be stopped ~~etc~~

T.S. thereon copy

A detailed report furnishing the full particulars of the case already called for, together with the connected records, may be submitted to Govt immediately.

I have the honour to be Sir Your most obedient servant

Immediate

L 13'088
&23 5-66

Subj - protest application of Mrs Ghouse Bi and other owners of Door no 82 & 83 in Narayana Sastry Road, in Block no 69/B, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite reference to this Office letter no L 10086, dated 30-3-66, and subsequent letter no L 12363, dated 9-5-66, calling for certain particulars on the above subject and to request that the information may kindly be furnished, without further delay

Yours sincerely
for
Secretary

R Ramachandra Rao
Bhavan Extn BA
Chairman C.I.T. Board
Mysore

D.O Award No 71/43-44 Lands file & 1 Stat

OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN,
CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT, 887, 6 JUNE 1946, L S G BRANCH

Dated 3rd June 1946

From

R RAMACHANDRA RAO BHOMBORE, Esq , B A ,
Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board,
Mysore

To

J B Nallaradnya, Esq , I A ,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Re Protest application of Mrs Chousebi and other owners of D No 873 in Narayanasastry Road (Chamundeswari Road) in Block 69/B, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore

---

With reference to your D O No L 13068 dated 23rd May 1946 re the above, and calling for a report on certain points in your letter No L 10086 dated 30th March 1946, I have the honour to state as follows -

2 The amount spent for the acquisition of these properties is Rs 14,192-8-0 and a sum of Rs 2006-1-9 was realised by the sale of sites The cost of acquisition included the structures and materials worth Rs 11,642/- as per statement enclosed Adding this sum to the amount realised by sale of sites, the total amount would be Rs 13,648-1-9

3 The Chairman is authorised to dispose of sites at upset price as per Rule 11 Chapter II and Page 3 of the Business Manual A Resolution was passed by the Board approving the lay-out of the area (vide Trust Board Resolution No 252 dated 20th December 1944) It is not obligatory to sell sites in public auction

4 As regards the reasons for not granting a site to the petitioner, in the locality, this has been explained in my letter of even No dated 5th March 1946 The entire records as required in your letter No L 12363 dated 9th May 1946 are enclosed for reference and return when done with

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chairman
7/6/46

HRS/3

D O

CITY IMPROVEMENT TRUST BOARD,
MYSORE

Dated 3rd June 1946, 193

State ent showing the estimated cost of materials of properties acqu red in Block No 69/B, Krishnaraja Mohalla, Mysore

---

Ward No	Door No	Name of owner	Cost of material (amount of compensation minus land value)
1) 74/43-44	844	Siddiah	Rs 254 0 0
2) 64/43-44	845	Marianna & Beeriah	1,241 0 0
3) 65/43-44	848	Siddiah	521 0 0
4) 66/43-44	849	Puttamadappa	512 0 0
5) 67/43-44	868	Mohamed Hussain	1,317 0 0
6) 68/43-44	869	Siddiah	984 0 0
7) 70/43-44	870	T Siddiah	1,295 0 0
8) 71/43-44	873	Sabu Sab	757 0 0
9 72/43-44	877	Volekar Deviah	502 0 0
10 75/43-44	872	H Ramiah	570 0 0
11 43/44-45 & 44/44-45	846 847	Beeriah Marianna	1,340 0 0 565 0 0
12 45 & 46/44-45	850 & 850	Kempiah & Narayanasetty	418 0 0 372 0 0
		Total	Rs 11,642 0 0

HPS/3

Chairman

The Chief C. & T. Bd. Mysore

Sir, L 515/R & 9 7 46

Subject: Protest by Mrs. Ghousalli & others against the acquisition of their property in Chamarajpet ward.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. Rev. Award No. 71/43 dated 14.6.46 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the Minister for Education proposes to inspect the place during his visit to Mysore in connection with the birthday celebrations of H.H. the Maharaja during this month

I am
~~and~~ to request that
arrangements may be
kindly made for
an inspection of the
spot. The parties concerned also informed of
this fact
respective records & return hereinto forwarded
I have the
to Rev Secy

Endorsement granted to
Smt Mrs Ghouse-B.A & others
Chamundeswari Rd Krishna
-raja Mohalla Mysore City C/o
Mr. A Venkateshiah B.A.,
L.L.B., Advocate, Mysore.

With reference to their
petition, dated 24.11.46
protesting against the
arrangements their proposals
for slum clearance etc
Mrs. Ghouse & others
are informed that
no case has been made out
for the Govt to interfere
in the matter & that
the Municipal Council
are in order in issuing
notices

No L 12363 dated
9.5.46 is hereby
~~vacated~~ have been issued

By order
for
Secretary

31/7.

Memo No L 2301 & 9846.
Copy sent to the Chairman, Civ
Improvement Trust Board, Mysore
for information with reference
enquiry vide his letter no A 71 dated
the stay order issued in this case
hereby vacated -

By order
for
Secretary